Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
	10/814,837		BHATE ET AL.			
	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Ninh H. Nguye	en	3745			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Ninh H. Nguyen</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Tait R. Swanson, Reg. No. 48,226</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>09 May 2006</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>38 and 52</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,044,823</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Claim 38 will be amended to remove the negative limitation and to incorporate subject matter of claim 52 to make the former allowable</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	i	Examiner's signa	ature, if required			

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/814,837	BHATE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ninh H. Nguyen	3745		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Ninh H. Nguyen</u> .	(3)			
(2) Tait R. Swanson, Reg. No. 48,226.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 May 2006	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 1				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The preamble for claim 1 was inadvertently left out and will be ins		DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
Mark H. Names				
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)				